

Research Review Meeting (RRM) for JNTUH Research Scholars
(January, 2026)

All JNTUH Research Scholars, including both External and Full-Time Scholars who are eligible, are invited to register for the Research Review Meetings (RRM-I & RRM-II). The RRM which scheduled tentatively on **27th and 28th of January, 2026** at JNTUH, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

To register for the RRM, scholars can visit the RSIS Portal at <https://drnd.jntuh.ac.in/scholar/>. It is mandatory for scholars to bring the Progress Report Form, downloaded from the RSIS software, duly signed by their Research Supervisor(s).

During the RRM, scholars are advised to present their research progress in the form of a Power Point Presentation before the Departmental Research Committee (DRC). Additionally, all tangible research outputs such as research publications, patents, products, etc., should be brought at the time of the review.

The Research Review Meeting will commence at 09:30 a.m. in their respective Departments/ Centres at JNTUH, Hyderabad, on the scheduled dates. All Research Scholars are advised to make their own arrangements for boarding and lodging.

- 1) It is mandatory for all Ph.D. Research Scholars to register and upload signed soft copies for the RRM on or before the **17th of January, 2026 by 5:00 p.m.**
- 2) **All the Candidates must submit Hard copy RRM application and Progress Report along with all supporting documents duly signed by scholar and Supervisor(s) in Spiral Binding on or before the 17th of January, 2026 by 5:00 p.m.**
- 3) All the scholars must go through Instructions/ Guidelines for submission/uploading all necessary documents therein.
- 4) The scholar fail to submit the application with proper enclosures duly signed by the scholar and supervisor(s) such applications may be rejected for RRM.

For any inquiries, please contact the R&D Cell Office at: 9154089525.

-Sd/ -
DIRECTOR

INSTRUCTIONS / GUIDELINES TO RESEARCH SCHOLAR - RRM-1

Sl. No.	Criterion	Evaluation Basis	Max. Marks	Documentary Evidence (Hard Copy signed by Scholar & Supervisor)
1	Papers Collected for Literature Survey	1 Mark for every 5 papers collected, subject to a maximum of 20	20	List of research papers in PDF format duly signed
2	Identification of Technical Gaps	1 Mark for each gap identified, subject to a maximum of 10	10	Statement of identified gaps with supporting citations duly signed.
3	Definition of Research Problem and novelty, non-obviousness, utility and mapping to SDGs	5 Marks for problem definition, 2 Marks for alignment with DST/STEM/Industry thrust areas, and 2 Marks each for novelty, non-obviousness, utility and mapping to SDGs	15	(i) Definition research problem, (ii) Novelty, (iii) Non-obviousness, (iv) utility and (v) Mapping to SDGs, duly signed
4	Formulation of Objectives	2 Marks for each clearly stated objective (minimum 5)	10	List of objectives of the proposed research work duly signed
5	Definition of Methodologies, Equipment available at workplace and Schedule for PHD duration of 3 years	Five methodologies @ 2 Marks each (10 Marks) + Feasibility of milestones, resource planning, and scheduling (5 Marks)	15	Methodology sheets with Gantt chart, resource/facility details and time-schedule duly signed.
6	Financial Assistance / Collaborations	1 Mark for every ₹1 lakh sanctioned (max 10) + up to 5 Marks for industrial or institutional collaboration	15	Official sanction orders or MoUs only (emails not accepted) duly signed.
7	% Completion of Methodologies	2 Marks per completed methodology, subject to maximum of 10	10	Laboratory records / progress reports / data logs duly signed.
8	Presentation, Clarity, and Research Integrity	Logical flow, validation of references, visual quality, confidence, and ethical compliance (including plagiarism and data integrity)	5	PPT presentation and plagiarism report duly signed.

1. Mandatory Documentation Instructions

(a). For each of the above 8 items, a hard copy of supporting documentary evidence, duly signed by the Scholar and Supervisor, shall be uploaded in RSIS Portal (PDF format only) and hard copy submitted to the R&D Cell as proof of compliance.

(b). Each document must clearly indicate the item number, title, and page count, and must bear both signatures of scholar and supervisor.

2. Change of Research Topic – RRM-I Only

(a) Permissibility: (i) The research topic may be changed only once, and only at RRM-I and (ii) No topic change shall be permitted after completion of RRM-I.

(b) Justification and Approval: (i) The Scholar must submit a written request for topic change, endorsed by the Supervisor and recommended by the Departmental Research Committee (DRC); and (ii) The request must clearly justify the reasons for change (e.g., impracticality of earlier topic, lack of facilities, or discovery of a more relevant problem).

(c) Validation through Full Evaluation: The new topic shall undergo complete RRM-I evaluation under all 8 criteria, with corresponding documentary evidence for each item.

Note - 1: Only the Scholar may propose a change of topic, and that too once, at RRM-I. Such change must be fully validated under all eight RRM-I items, supported by documentary evidence, and authenticated by the BoS Chairperson's handwritten marks and signature on the hard copies.

3. Integrity and Quality Assurance Clauses

(a) Authenticity Declaration: Every scholar must submit a signed declaration stating that all materials, reports, and documents submitted for RRM-I are self-authored and not AI-generated or plagiarized.

(b) AI-generated Material Prohibited: AI-generated, paraphrased, or auto-written reports, abstracts, or documentation (from ChatGPT, Gemini, Copilot, etc.) are not accepted as evidence.

(c) The PPT and its similarity report (from Turnitin) must be printed and signed by both the Scholar and Supervisor before submission.

(d) Supervisor's Verification: Supervisor shall verify every document for technical accuracy and originality before endorsing for RRM-I.

Note - 2: Only original documents authored by the scholar are valid for evaluation.

Note - 3: Detection of AI-generated content shall result in disqualification of that evidence item.

4. Check List to be uploaded in RSIS Portal / submitted in hard copies

(1). Papers Collected for Literature Survey: List of research papers in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

(2). Identification of Technical Gaps: Statement of identified gaps with supporting citations in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

(3). Definition of Research Problem: (i) Statement of problem, (ii) novelty, (iii) non-obviousness, (iv) utility and (v) mapping to SDGs in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

(4). Formulation of Objectives: List of objectives in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

(5). Definition of Methodologies: (i) Statement of methodologies with Gantt chart, (ii) Equipment available at workplace and (iii) Time-Schedule resource/facility details and time-schedule, in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

(6). Financial Assistance / Collaborations: Official sanction orders or MoUs only (emails not accepted)

(7). % Completion of Methodologies: Laboratory records / progress reports / data logs signed.

(8). Presentation, Clarity, and Research Integrity: (i) PPT presentation and (ii) plagiarism report in PDF format duly signed by Research Scholar and supervisor.

INSTRUCTIONS / GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION BY RESEARCH SCHOLAR RRM-2

Sl. No.	Criterion	Evaluation Basis	Max. Marks	Documentary Evidence (Hard Copy signed by Scholar & Supervisor)
1	% Completion of Objectives	2 Marks for each objective completed, subject to maximum of 10.	10	Objective-wise progress report and supporting data duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
2	% Completion of Results and Analysis (Charts & Tables)	4 Marks on total completion of each output characteristic, subject to maximum of 20. Must include statistical analysis and benchmarking with published results.	20	(i) Experimental results, (ii) graphs, (iii) tables, or (iv) simulations duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
3	Validation of Results	2 Marks per validated output characteristic, subject to maximum of 10. Validation through standard or industrial benchmark.	10	(i) Validation sheets, (ii) standard comparisons, or (iii) correlation proofs duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
4	Publications	5 Marks per journal and 2 Marks per conference paper, subject to maximum of 25.	25	(i) Published papers with DOI proof; (ii) plagiarism report of papers signed by Scholar & Supervisor; (iii) In case of publications in peer-reviewed journals there must be peer-reviewed reports duly signed by the Editor of the journal and attested by the scholar and supervisor.
5	Creation of Prototype / Model	10 Marks for functional or conceptual prototype (TRL 3–6). Marks based on innovation, documentation, and relevance.	10	Photographs, CAD drawings, test results, or validation reports duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
6	Plagiarism of Reports and Publications	$\leq 2\%$ = 10 Marks; $\leq 5\%$ = 5 Marks; $\leq 10\%$ = 3 Marks; $> 20\%$ = 0 Marks.	10	Plagiarism reports (Turnitin) for all RRM–II documents and publications duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
7	Patent Publication / Filing	5 Marks per published or filed patent (maximum 5).	5	Proof of filing or publication from the Indian Patent Office duly signed by Scholar & Supervisor.
8	Presentation, Clarity, and Research Integrity	Logical flow, validation of references, visual quality, confidence (2 marks each = 10). Includes societal/SDG relevance and ethical data use.	10	Copy of PPT presentation and plagiarism report signed by Scholar & Supervisor.

1. Mandatory Documentation Instructions

- (a). For each of the above 8 items, a hard copy of supporting documentary evidence, duly signed by the Scholar and Supervisor, shall be uploaded in RSIS Portal (PDF format only) and hard copy submitted to the R&D Cell as proof of compliance.
- (b). Each document must clearly indicate the item number, title, and page count, and must bear both signatures of scholar and supervisor.
- (c). The DRC shall verify each item and forward the consolidated evaluation along with the complete documentary record to the Director, R&D Cell, JNTUH.

2. Submission Instructions

- (a). Each item (1–8) and sub-item must have corresponding documentary evidence as listed. Each one is uploaded in PDF Format (RSIS Portal)
- (b). Every page of the evidence must bear the signatures of the Scholar and Supervisor.

3. Publications

- (a) Publications as per Regulations:
 - (i) Regulations – 2009: Three publications at least one Journal in a peer-reviewed referred National / International Journals plus Two papers in National / International Conferences. The research scholar should submit peer-reviewed reports duly signed by the Editor of the peer-reviewed journal and duly attested by Scholar and Supervisor.
 - (ii) Regulations – 2015: Three publications at least two paper in a peer-reviewed National or International Journals with ISSN and Impact more than 1 preferably and at least one in International or National Conferences. The research scholar should submit peer-reviewed reports duly signed by the Editor of the peer-reviewed journal and duly attested by Scholar and Supervisor.
 - (iii) regulations- 2022: Four publications with at least two papers must be published in Web of Science indexed / Science Citation indexed (SCI) / Scopus indexed journals which are peer reviewed with good impact factor as per the mandatory requirement of UGC. CARE list of UGC shall be taken into account in case of ambiguity.
- (b) Publications in the cloned journals are not accepted.
- (c) In the research publications, the research name must be the first author followed by Supervisor and/or Co-Supervisor (if any). This order is mandatory.
- (d) Publications with Guest/Ghost authors are not accepted.

4. Integrity and Quality Assurance Clauses

- (a) Authenticity Declaration: Every scholar must submit a signed declaration stating that all materials, reports, and documents submitted for RRM-II are self-authored and not AI-generated or plagiarized.
- (b) AI-generated Material Prohibited: AI-generated, paraphrased, or auto-written reports, abstracts, or documentation (from ChatGPT, Gemini, Copilot, etc.) are not accepted as evidence.

(c) The PPT and its similarity report (from Turnitin) must be printed and signed by both the Scholar and Supervisor before submission.

(d) Supervisor's Verification: Supervisor shall verify every document for technical accuracy and originality before endorsing for RRM-II.

Note - 1: Only original documents authored by the scholar are valid for evaluation.

Note - 2: Detection of AI-generated content shall result in disqualification of that evidence item.

-----***-----